

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/439,626	11/12/1999	JACQUES H. HELOT	109911266-1	1876
22879	7590 07/02/2002			
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			EXAMINER	
			JAKETIC, BRYAN J	
FORT COLL	INS, CO 80327-2400		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/439,626	HELOT ET AL.				
navious notion	Examin r	Art Unit	7			
	Bryan Jaketic	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 18 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	evoid abandonment of this applicance  1) a timely filed amendment whith all (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper repl ch places the applica	ly to a ation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) X The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</u> b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Se 136(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate extentes the final Office action; or (2)	ee MPEP extension fee nsion fee under 2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:					
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);				
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or si	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	iS.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			ind an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 5-28</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exami	ner.			
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
<del></del>						

Toph 7/1/02

Continuation of 2. NOTE: While the examined claims did include the step of collecting statistical data, applicant did not claim that this data was received from a plurality of users so that ordering trends and tendencies can be examined by a merchant.

ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600